arXiv:1302.3897v2 [math.RA] 19 Feb 2013

The automorphism group functor of the $N = 4$ Lie conformal superalgebra

Zhihua Chang

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Science, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G1, Canada.

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the structure and representability of the automorphism group functor of the $N = 4$ Lie conformal superalgebra over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero.

Keywords: $N = 4$ Lie conformal superalgebra, Differential Lie conformal superalgebras, Automorphism groups
2010 MSC: 17B40, 14L15, 17B81

---

1. Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and A a finite-dimensional (associative, Lie, Jordan...) algebra over k. The automorphism group $\mathbf{Aut}(A)$ of A is an affine group scheme of finite type over k. In the language of algebraic geometry, an affine group scheme over k is a representable functor from the category k**-rng** of commutative associative k–algebras to the category **grp** of groups. As a functor, $\mathbf{Aut}(A)$ assigns a commutative associative k–algebra R to the group of R–linear automorphisms of $A \otimes_k R$.

The geometric properties of $\mathbf{Aut}(A)$ are strongly related to the algebraic properties of A. For instance, if $\mathbf{Aut}(A)$ is smooth and connected, then all twisted loop algebras based on A are trivial, i.e., isomorphic to $A \otimes_k k[t^{\pm 1}]$ as algebras over the ring $k[t^{\pm 1}]$ (see [11]).

In the case of Lie algebras, the centreless core of an affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra is a twisted loop algebra based on a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over k (see [7, Chapter 7, 8]). Based on the point of view taken in [11], such a twisted loop algebra is a twisted form of the untwisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k k[t^{\pm 1}]$ with respect to an étale extension of $k[t^{\pm 1}]$. These twisted forms are classified in [11] by investigating the non-abelian étale cohomology of the k–group scheme $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. The case of finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras was studied in [4] and [5] as well.

In [9], in order to address the results about the $N = 2, 4$ superconformal algebras of [12], an analogous theory of twisted forms of differential conformal superalgebras is developed. To obtain the "correct" definition of conformal superalgebra over a ring, k–differential rings were considered as a replacement of commutative associative k–algebras. A k–differential ring is a pair $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ consisting of a commutative associative k–algebra R and a k–linear derivation $\delta : R \to R$. k–differential rings form a category, denoted by k**-drng**, where a morphism $f : \mathcal{R} = (R, \delta_R) \to \mathcal{S} = (S, \delta_S)$ is a homomorphism of k-algebras $f : R \to S$ such that $f \circ \delta_R = \delta_S \circ f$. For a conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ over k, its automorphism group functor $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ is a functor from k**-drng** to the category of groups (denoted by **grp**). We will review the precise definition of a Lie conformal superalgebra over k and its automorphism group functor in Section 2.

Unlike the case of usual finite-dimensional algebras, for an arbitrary Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ fails to be representable. However, it is still important to investigate the Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$: it is pointed out in [9] that the isomorphism classes of twisted forms of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$ with respect to a faithfully flat extension of k–differential rings $\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{S}$ are parameterized by the non-abelian cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{R}})$.

Email address: `zchang1@ualberta.ca` (Zhihua Chang)

Preprint submitted to Arxiv *February 20, 2013*

Furthermore, the non-abelian cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{R}})$ is related to some objects in algebraic geometry. Concretely, if $\mathcal{R} = (k[t^{\pm 1}], \frac{d}{dt})$ and $\mathcal{S} = (k[t^q, q \in \mathbb{Q}], \frac{d}{dt})$, then, under some finiteness assumption on $\mathcal{A}$, the set $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{R}})$ can be identified with the continuous non-abelian cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{ct}}\big(\pi_1(R), \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{S})\big)$, where $\pi_1(R)$ is the algebraic fundamental group of $\mathrm{Spec}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$ at the geometric point $a = \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k(t)})$ (see [9, Proposition 2.29]). If in addition $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{G}(S)$ for an affine group scheme $\mathbf{G}$ over k, then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{ct}}\big(\pi_1(R), \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{S})\big)$ can be further identified with the non-abelian étale cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R, \mathbf{G})$ (see [9, Remark 2.30]).

Interestingly, it is not only the cohomology sets that are closely related to an affine group scheme $\mathbf{G}$, but the group functor $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ is as well. For the $N = 1, 2, 3$ Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{K}_N$, $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_N)$ has a subgroup functor $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_N)$ such that $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_N)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_N)(\mathcal{R})$ for $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ with R an integral domain (see [1]). The explicit structure of $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_N)$ was obtained in [1, Theorem 3.1]: $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_N)$ is the group functor obtained by lifting the k–group scheme $\mathbf{O}_N$ of $N \times N$–orthogonal matrices via the forgetful functor $\mathrm{fgt} : k\textbf{-drng} \to k\textbf{-rng}, \mathcal{R} = (R, \delta) \mapsto R$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_N) : k\textbf{-drng} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{fgt}} k\textbf{-rng} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{O}_N} \mathbf{grp}.$$

We call a functor from k-**drng** to **grp** *forgetfully representable* if it is obtained by lifting a k-group scheme using the forgetful functor.

In this paper, we focus on the automorphism group functor $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ of the $N = 4$ Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{F}$ (the explicit definition will be given in Section 2). We will define the subgroup functor $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ in Section 2, and show that $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$ for $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ with R an integral domain in Section 4.

In Section 3, we study the subgroup functor $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})$ by explicitly computing its $\mathcal{R}$–points. We obtain that, for every k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$, $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$ fits into the following exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0) \to \mathbf{SL}_2(R) \times \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}),$$

where $R_0 = \ker \delta$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ and $\mathbf{SL}_2$ are the k–group scheme of square roots of 1 and 2×2–matrices with determinant 1, respectively. Moreover, the above exact sequence is functorial in $\mathcal{R}$. The homomorphism $\iota_{\mathcal{R}}$ fails to be surjective in general, but it still has properties similar to that of a quotient morphism of affine group schemes over k (Proposition 3.4 and 3.5). To our surprise, $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})$ is not forgetfully representable. We will discuss the representability of group functors involved in the above exact sequence.

In Section 5, we will show using an example that the definition of the subgroup functor $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{A})$ of a Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ depends on the choice of generators of $\mathcal{A}$.

Notation: Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $\mathbb{Q}$ denote the complex numbers, integers, non-negative integers, and rational numbers, respectively.

We will always assume k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $\mathbf{i}$ is $\sqrt{-1} \in k$, and $k[\partial]$ is the polynomial ring over k in one variable ∂. We will use ϵ_{ijl} to denote the sign of a cycle (ijl). For a commutative associative k-algebra R, $R^{2\times 2}$ will denotes the set of all 2×2–matrices with entries in R.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will review some basic concepts of Lie conformal superalgebras over k, their base change with respect to an extension of k–differential rings, and their automorphism group functors. We then describe the $N = 4$ Lie conformal superalgebra using generators and relations. We also introduce some notation to simplify our computations for automorphism groups.

Definition 2.1 ([8, definition 2.7])**.** A *Lie conformal superalgebra* over k is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$–graded $k[\partial]$–module $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$ equipped with a k–bilinear operation $-_{(n)}- : \mathcal{A} \otimes_k \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying the following axioms:

(CS0) $a_{(n)}b = 0$ for $n \gg 0$,

(CS1) $\partial(a)_{(n)}b = -na_{(n-1)}b$,

(CS2) $a_{(n)}b = -p(a,b)\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}(-1)^{j+n}\partial^{(j)}(b_{(n+j)}a)$,

(CS3) $a_{(m)}(b_{(n)}c) = \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}(a_{(j)}b)_{(m+n-j)}c + p(a,b)b_{(n)}(a_{(m)}c)$,

where $\partial^{(j)} = \partial^j/j!, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $p(a,b) = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}$, $p(a)$ (resp. $p(b)$) is the parity of a (resp. b).

We also use the conventional λ-bracket notation:

$$[a_\lambda b] = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\frac{1}{n!}\lambda^n a_{(n)}b,$$

where λ is a variable and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Given a Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ over k and a k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$, we can form a new Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}$ (the base change of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to $k \to \mathcal{R}$) as follows: The underlying $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$–graded k–vector space of $\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}$ is $\mathcal{A}\otimes_k R$, the $k[\partial]$–module structure is given by $\partial_{\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\delta$, and the n-th product for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is given by:

$$(a\otimes r)_{(n)}(b\otimes s) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}(a_{(n+j)}b)\otimes\delta^{(j)}(r)s,$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, r, s \in R$ and $\delta^{(j)} = \delta^j/j!$.

It is pointed out in [9] that $\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}$ is not only a Lie conformal superalgebra over k, but also an $\mathcal{R}$–Lie conformal superalgebra ([9, definition 1.3]). The $\mathcal{R}$–structure yields the definition of its $\mathcal{R}$–automorphisms: an *$\mathcal{R}$–automorphism* of $\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}$ is an automorphism of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$–graded R–module $\mathcal{A}\otimes_k R$ which preserves all n-th products and commutes with $\partial_{\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}}$. All $\mathcal{R}$–automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}$ form a group, denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-conf}}(\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R})$. These automorphism groups are functorial in $\mathcal{R}$. Hence, they define a functor from the category of k–differential rings to the category of groups:

$$\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) : k\text{-}\mathbf{drng} \to \mathbf{grp}, \quad \mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-conf}}(\mathcal{A}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}).$$

It is called the *automorphism group functor* of $\mathcal{A}$.

In this paper, we focus on the $N = 4$ Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{F}$. As a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$–graded $k[\partial]$–module, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\bar{1}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{0}} &= k[\partial]\mathrm{L} \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^1 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^2 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^3,\\ \mathcal{F}_{\bar{1}} &= k[\partial]\mathrm{G}^1 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{G}^2 \oplus k[\partial]\overline{\mathrm{G}}^1 \oplus k[\partial]\overline{\mathrm{G}}^2.\end{aligned}$$

The λ–bracket on $\mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\mathrm{L}_\lambda\mathrm{L}] = (\partial+2\lambda)\mathrm{L}, & [\mathrm{L}_\lambda\mathrm{T}^i] = (\partial+\lambda)\mathrm{T}^i,\\ [\mathrm{L}_\lambda\mathrm{G}^p] = \left(\partial+\frac{3}{2}\lambda\right)\mathrm{G}^p, & \left[\mathrm{L}_\lambda\overline{\mathrm{G}}^p\right] = \left(\partial+\frac{3}{2}\lambda\right)\overline{\mathrm{G}}^p,\\ [\mathrm{T}^i{}_\lambda\mathrm{T}^j] = \mathbf{i}\epsilon_{ijl}\mathrm{T}^l, & [\mathrm{G}^p{}_\lambda\mathrm{G}^q] = \left[\overline{\mathrm{G}}^p{}_\lambda\overline{\mathrm{G}}^q\right] = 0,\\ [\mathrm{T}^i{}_\lambda\mathrm{G}^p] = -\frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_{q=1}^{2}\sigma^i_{pq}\mathrm{G}^q, & \left[\mathrm{T}^i{}_\lambda\overline{\mathrm{G}}^p\right] = \frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_{q=1}^{2}\sigma^i_{qp}\overline{\mathrm{G}}^q,\\ \left[\mathrm{G}^p{}_\lambda\overline{\mathrm{G}}^q\right] = 2\delta_{pq}\mathrm{L} - 2(\partial+2\lambda)\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}\sigma^i_{pq}\mathrm{T}^i, & \end{array}$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, $p, q = 1, 2$ and $\sigma^i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are the Pauli spin matrices:

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix}0 & -\mathbf{i}\\ \mathbf{i} & 0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}.$$

The even part $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{0}}$ has a sub Lie conformal algebra

$$\mathcal{B} = k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^1 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^2 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^3,$$

which is isomorphic to the current Lie conformal algebra[1] $\mathrm{Cur}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$.

Analogous to the cases where $N = 1, 2, 3$, the automorphism group functor $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ has a subgroup functor $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})$ defined as follows: fix the k–vector space

$$V = \mathrm{span}_k \left\{ \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{T}^1, \mathrm{T}^2, \mathrm{T}^3, \mathrm{G}^1, \mathrm{G}^2, \overline{\mathrm{G}}^1, \overline{\mathrm{G}}^2 \right\},$$

and define a subgroup of $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{R})$ for each k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$:

$$\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}) = \{\phi \in \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}) | \phi(V \otimes_k R) \subseteq V \otimes_k R\}.$$

This construction is functorial in $\mathcal{R}$.

To simplify computations for these automorphism groups, we write $\widehat{\partial} = \partial_{\mathcal{F}\otimes_k\mathcal{R}}$ for short and introduce the following notation: for $r \in R$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R)$ and $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in R^{2\times 2}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{L}(r) := \mathrm{L} \otimes r, \\
&\mathrm{T}(X) := \mathrm{T}^1 \otimes (y + z) + \mathbf{i}\mathrm{T}^2 \otimes (y - z) + 2\mathrm{T}^3 \otimes x, \\
&\mathrm{G}(M) := -\mathbf{i}\mathrm{G}^1 \otimes d - \mathbf{i}\overline{\mathrm{G}}^1 \otimes a + \mathbf{i}\mathrm{G}^2 \otimes b - \mathbf{i}\overline{\mathrm{G}}^2 \otimes c.
\end{aligned}$$

Then every element of V (resp. $V \otimes R$) can be written as

$$L(r) + \mathrm{T}(X) + \mathrm{G}(M),$$

where $r \in k, X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(k), M \in k^{2\times 2}$ (resp. $r \in R, X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R), M \in R^{2\times 2}$). Furthermore, the λ-bracket on $\mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$ satisfies the following relations: for $r, r' \in R$, $X, X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R)$, and $M, N \in R^{2\times 2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathrm{L}(r)_\lambda \mathrm{L}(r')] &= (\partial + 2\lambda)\mathrm{L}(rr') + 2\mathrm{L}(\delta(r)r'), \\
[\mathrm{L}(r)_\lambda \mathrm{T}(X)] &= (\partial + \lambda)\mathrm{T}(rX) + \mathrm{T}(\delta(r)X), \\
[\mathrm{T}(X_1)_\lambda \mathrm{T}(X_2)] &= \mathrm{T}([X_1, X_2]), \\
[\mathrm{L}(r)_\lambda \mathrm{G}(M)] &= \left(\partial + \frac{3}{2}\lambda\right)\mathrm{G}(rM) + \frac{3}{2}\mathrm{G}(\delta(r)M), \\
[\mathrm{T}(X)_\lambda \mathrm{G}(M)] &= \mathrm{G}(XM), \\
[\mathrm{G}(M)_\lambda \mathrm{G}(N)] &= 2\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{tr}(MN^\dagger)) + (\partial + 2\lambda)\mathrm{T}(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger) \\
&\quad + 2\mathrm{T}(\delta(M)N^\dagger - N\delta(M^\dagger)).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{tr} : R^{2\times 2} \to R$ is the trace map, and $M^\dagger := \begin{pmatrix} -d & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$ for $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

3. The group functor $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})$

We will compute the group $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$ for a k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ in this section. The main results will be Theorem 3.3, Proposition 3.4 and 3.5.

---

[1] Every finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over k associates a current Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathrm{Cur}(\mathfrak{g}) = k[\partial] \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}$ with the n-th product: $a_{(0)}b = [a, b]$ and $a_{(n)}b = 0$ for $n \geqslant 1$ [8, Example 2.7].

Lemma 3.1. For an arbitrary k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$, there is a group homomorphism

$$\iota_{\mathcal{R}} : \mathbf{SL}_2(R) \times \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0) \to \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}), \quad (A, B) \mapsto \theta_{A,B},$$

where $R_0 = \ker \delta$, and $\theta_{A,B}$ is the $\mathcal{R}$–automorphism of $\mathcal{F} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$ defined by

$$\theta_A(\mathrm{L}) = \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}(\delta(A)A^{-1}), \quad \theta_A(\mathrm{T}(X)) = \mathrm{T}(AXA^{-1}), \quad \theta_A(\mathrm{G}(M)) = \mathrm{G}(AMB^{-1}),$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(k), M \in k^{2\times 2}$. In addition, the homomorphism $\iota_{\mathcal{R}}$ is functorial in $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. These formulas define a homomorphism $\theta_{A,B}$ of R–modules $V \otimes_k R \to V \otimes_k R$. It yields a homomorphism of R–modules $\mathcal{F} \otimes_k \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$ which preserves the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$–grading and satisfies $\widehat{\partial} \circ \theta_{A,B} = \theta_{A,B} \circ \widehat{\partial}$. This map is also denoted by $\theta_{A,B}$.

To show $\theta_{A,B}$ is a homomorphism of Lie conformal superalgebras, by lemma 3.1 (ii) in [9], it suffices to show

$$\theta_{A,B}([\xi \otimes 1_\lambda \eta \otimes 1]) = [\theta_{A,B}(\xi \otimes 1)_\lambda \theta_{A,B}(\eta \otimes 1)] \tag{1}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in V$. This can be accomplished by a direct computation.

For instance, let $M, N \in k^{2\times 2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_{A,B}([\mathrm{G}(M)_\lambda \mathrm{G}(N)]) \\
&= \theta_{A,B}\left(2\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{tr}(MN^\dagger)) + (\partial + 2\lambda)\mathrm{T}(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger)\right) \\
&= 2\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{tr}(MN^\dagger)) + 2\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{tr}(MN^\dagger)\delta(A)A^{-1}) \\
&\quad + (\widehat{\partial} + 2\lambda)\mathrm{T}(A(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger)A^{-1}), \\
&[\theta_{A,B}(\mathrm{G}(M))_\lambda \theta_{A,B}(\mathrm{G}(N))] \\
&= [\mathrm{G}(AMB^{-1})_\lambda \mathrm{G}(ANB^{-1})] \\
&= \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{tr}(AMB^{-1}(ANB^{-1})^\dagger)) \\
&\quad + (\partial + 2\lambda)\mathrm{T}(AMB^{-1}(ANB^{-1})^\dagger - ANB^{-1}(AMB^{-1})^\dagger) \\
&\quad + 2\mathrm{T}(\delta(AMB^{-1})(ANB^{-1})^\dagger - ANB^{-1}\delta(AMB^{-1})^\dagger).
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation shows that

- $(X_1X_2 \cdots X_n)^\dagger = (-1)^{n+1}X_n^\dagger X_{n-1}^\dagger \cdots X_1^\dagger$ for $X_1, \cdots, X_n \in R^{2\times 2}$.
- $XY^\dagger + YX^\dagger = \mathrm{tr}(XY^\dagger)I$ for $X, Y \in R^{2\times 2}$, where I is the identity matrix.
- $A^{-1} = -A^\dagger$ for $A \in \mathbf{SL}_2(R)$.

Hence, $AMB^{-1}(ANB^{-1})^\dagger = AMB^{-1}BN^\dagger A^{-1} = AMN^\dagger A^{-1}$. Noting that $\delta(B) = 0$ and $\delta(A^{-1}) = -A^{-1}\delta(A)A^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(\delta(AMB^{-1})(ANB^{-1})^\dagger - ANB^{-1}\delta(AMB^{-1})^\dagger) \\
&= 2(\delta(A)MB^{-1}BN^\dagger A^{-1} - ANB^{-1}BM^\dagger\delta(A^{-1})) \\
&= \delta(A)(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger)A^{-1} + A(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger)\delta(A^{-1}) \\
&\quad + \delta(A)(MN^\dagger + NM^\dagger)A^{-1} - A(MN^\dagger + NM^\dagger)\delta(A^{-1}) \\
&= \delta(A(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger)A^{-1}) + \mathrm{tr}(MN^\dagger)(\delta(A)A^{-1} - A\delta(A^{-1})) \\
&= \delta(A(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger)A^{-1}) + 2\mathrm{tr}(MN^\dagger)\delta(A)A^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\theta_{A,B}([\mathrm{G}(M)_\lambda \mathrm{G}(N)]) = [\theta_{A,B}(\mathrm{G}(M))_\lambda \theta_{A,B}(\mathrm{G}(N))].$$

Similarly, it is easy to check that equation (1) holds for all $\xi, \eta \in V$. Hence, θ_A is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{R}$–Lie conformal superalgebras. Then $\theta_{A,B} \circ \theta_{A^{-1},B^{-1}} = \theta_{A^{-1},B^{-1}} \circ \theta_{A,B} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$ implies that $\theta_{A,B}$ is an automorphism of the $\mathcal{R}$–Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{F} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$. Thus, we obtain $\theta_{A,B} \in \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$ as $\theta_{A,B}(V \otimes_k R) \subseteq V \otimes_k R$.

To prove $(A, B) \mapsto \theta_{A,B}$ defines a group homomorphism, it suffices to show $\theta_{A_1A_2,B_1B_2} = \theta_{A_1,B_1} \circ \theta_{A_2,B_2}$ for $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{SL}_2(R), B_1, B_2 \in \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0)$. By [9, Proposition 3.1], this can be easily done by checking

$$\theta_{A_1A_2,B_1B_2}(\xi \otimes 1) = \theta_{A_1,B_1} \circ \theta_{A_2,B_2}(\xi \otimes 1)$$

for $\xi \in V$. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{A_1A_2,B_1B_2}(\mathrm{L}) &= \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}(\delta(A_1A_2)(A_1A_2)^{-1}) \\
&= \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}((\delta(A_1)A_2 + A_1\delta(A_2))A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}) \\
&= \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}(\delta(A_1)A_1^{-1} + A_1\delta(A_2)A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}, \\
\theta_{A_1,B_1} \circ \theta_{A_2,B_2}(\mathrm{L}) &= \theta_{A_1,B_1}(\mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}(\delta(A_2)A_2^{-1})) \\
&= \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}(\delta(A_1)A_1^{-1}) + \mathrm{T}(A_1\delta(A_2)A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

In summary, we obtain a group homomorphism

$$\iota_{\mathcal{R}} : \mathbf{SL}_2(R) \times \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0) \to \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}),$$

for each k–differential ring $\mathcal{R}$ which is functorial in $\mathcal{R}$. ☐

Lemma 3.2. For every k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$,

$$\ker(\iota_{\mathcal{R}}) \cong \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0),$$

where $R_0 = \ker \delta$. Additionally, these isomorphisms are functorial in $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Let $(A, B) \in \ker(\iota_{\mathcal{R}})$, where $A \in \mathbf{SL}_2(R)$ and $B \in \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0)$. Since $\theta_{A,B} = \mathrm{id}$,

$$\mathrm{T}(X) = \theta_{A,B}(\mathrm{T}(X)) = \mathrm{T}(AXA^{-1}),$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$. Hence, $AX = XA$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$. It follows that $A = aI$ for some $a \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R)$.

Then

$$\mathrm{G}(M) = \theta_{A,B}(\mathrm{G}(M)) = \mathrm{G}(AMB^{-1}),$$

for all $M \in k^{2\times2}$, so that $aM = AM = MB$ for all $M \in k^{2\times2}$, and so $B = aI$ and $a \in R_0$ as $B \in \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0)$. Therefore, $(A, B) = (aI, aI)$ for $a \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0)$.

Conversely, for $a \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0)$, it can be checked that $(aI, aI) \in \ker(\iota_{\mathcal{R}})$. Hence, $\ker(\iota_{\mathcal{R}}) \cong \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0)$. ☐

From lemmas 3.1-3.2, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3. For every k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$, there is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0) \to \mathbf{SL}_2(R) \times \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}), \tag{2}$$

where $R_0 = \ker \delta$. Furthermore, the exact sequence is functorial in $\mathcal{R}$. ☐

In general, $\iota_{\mathcal{R}}$ fails to be surjective. However, it has properties analogous to the universal surjectivity of the quotient morphisms of k–group schemes. More precisely, we have the following Proposition 3.4 and 3.5. We call an extension $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta_R) \to \mathcal{S} = (S, \delta_S)$ of k–differential rings *étale* if the homomorphism $R \to S$ of rings is étale.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta_R)$ be a k–differential ring with R an integral domain. For every $\varphi \in \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$, there is an étale extension $\mathcal{S} = (S, \delta_S)$ of $\mathcal{R}$, an element $A \in \mathbf{SL}_2(S)$, and an element $B \in \mathbf{SL}_2(S_0)$ such that

$$\iota_{\mathcal{S}}(A, B) = \theta_{A,B} = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}},$$

where $S_0 = \ker \delta_S$, and $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the image of φ under $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. We first write $\varphi(\mathrm{L}) = \mathrm{L}(r) + \mathrm{T}(X_0)$, where $r \in R, X_0 \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([\mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{L}]) &= (\widehat{\partial} + 2\lambda)(\mathrm{L}(r) + \mathrm{T}(X_0)), \\ [\varphi(\mathrm{L})_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{L})] &= (\widehat{\partial} + 2\lambda)(\mathrm{L}(r^2) + \mathrm{T}(rX_0)). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from $\varphi([\mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{L}]) = [\varphi(\mathrm{L})_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{L})]$ that $r^2 = r$ and $rX_0 = X_0$. Since R is an integral domain, $r = 0$ or 1. If $r = 0$, we obtain $X_0 = rX_0 = 0$, and so $\varphi(\mathrm{L}) = 0$. This contradicts the injectivity of φ. Hence, $r = 1$, i.e.,

$$\varphi(\mathrm{L}) = \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}(X_0). \tag{3}$$

Next we write $\varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)) = \mathrm{L}(r_i) + \mathrm{T}(X_i)$ for $r_i \in R, X_i \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R), i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([\mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)]) &= (\widehat{\partial} + \lambda)(\mathrm{L}(r_i) + \mathrm{T}(X_i)), \\ [\varphi(\mathrm{L})_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))] &= (\partial + 2\lambda)\mathrm{L}(r_i) + (\partial + \lambda)\mathrm{T}(X_i) \\ &\quad + \lambda \mathrm{T}(r_i X_0) + \mathrm{T}(r_i \delta(X_0)) + \mathrm{T}([X_0, X_i]). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from $\varphi([\mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)]) = [\varphi(\mathrm{L})_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))], i = 1, 2, 3$ that $r_i = 0$ and $\delta(X_i) = [X_0, X_i]$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. It follows that $\varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)) = \mathrm{T}(X_i), i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, $\varphi(\mathcal{B} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$ and $\varphi|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}}$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{B} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{0}}$ has a subalgebra $\mathcal{B} = k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^1 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^2 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^3$, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cur}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$. Thus $\mathcal{B} \otimes_k \mathcal{R} \cong \mathrm{Cur}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)) \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$ as $\mathcal{R}$–Lie conformal superalgebras. By corollary 3.17 of [9], there is an R-linear automorphism $\overline{\varphi}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \otimes_k R = \mathfrak{sl}_2(R)$ such that $\varphi(\mathrm{T}(X)) = \mathrm{T}(\overline{\varphi}(X))$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R)$.

It is known that $\mathbf{SL}_2(R)$ acts on $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \otimes_k R$ functorially via conjugation and there is a quotient morphism $\pi : \mathbf{SL}_2 \to \mathbf{Aut}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$ of k-group schemes. From [2, III, §1, 2.8 and 3.2], there exist an étale extension S of R and $A \in \mathbf{SL}_2(S)$ such that

$$\overline{\varphi}_S(X) = AXA^{-1}, X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(S). \tag{4}$$

Since $R \to S$ is an étale ring homomorphism, by [3, Lemma 1.16], there is an unique k–derivation δ_S of S extending δ_R. Hence, $\mathcal{S} = (S, \delta_S)$ is an étale extension of $\mathcal{R}$.

Now we consider the image $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}$ of φ in $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{S})$. From (3) and (4), we obtain

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathrm{L}) = \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}(X_0), \text{ and } \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)) = \mathrm{T}(A\sigma^i A^{-1}), i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then we deduce from $[\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathrm{L})_\lambda \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))] = (\widehat{\partial} + \lambda)\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))$ that

$$\delta_S(A\sigma^i A^{-1}) = [X_0, A\sigma^i A^{-1}], i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, a direct computation shows that $X_0 = \delta_S(A)A^{-1}$.

Let $\psi = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}} \circ \theta_{A,I}^{-1}$. Then $\psi \in \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{S})$ and $\psi|_{\mathcal{F}_{\bar{0}} \otimes_k \mathcal{S}} = \mathrm{id}$. Next we consider $\psi|_{\mathcal{F}_{\bar{1}} \otimes_k \mathcal{S}}$. Suppose

$$\psi(\mathrm{G}(M)) = \mathrm{G}(\nu(M)), \quad M \in S^{2\times 2},$$

where $\nu : S^{2\times 2} \to S^{2\times 2}$ is a bijective S–linear map. Now we deduce from

$$\psi([\mathrm{T}(X)_\lambda \mathrm{G}(M)]) = [\psi(\mathrm{T}(X))_\lambda \psi(\mathrm{G}(M))]$$

that $X \cdot \nu(M) = \nu(XM)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(S)$ and $M \in S^{2\times 2}$. Then a straightforward computation shows that there is an element $B \in \mathbf{GL}_2(S)$ such that $\nu(M) = MB^{-1}$ for all $M \in S^{2\times 2}$.

Next we show $B \in \mathbf{SL}_2(S_0)$. Let $M, N \in k^{2\times 2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi([\mathrm{G}(M)_{(1)}\mathrm{G}(N)]) &= 2\mathrm{T}(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger),\\
[\psi(\mathrm{G}(M))_{(1)}\psi(\mathrm{G}(N))] &= 2\mathrm{T}(MB^{-1}(NB^{-1})^\dagger - NB^{-1}(MB^{-1})^\dagger)\\
&= 2\mathrm{T}(\det(B^{-1})(MN^\dagger - NM^\dagger)).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\det(B^{-1}) = 1$, so $B \in \mathbf{SL}_2(S)$.

For $M \in k^{2\times 2}$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi([\mathrm{G}(M)_\lambda \mathrm{L}]) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\partial} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda\right)\mathrm{G}(MB^{-1}),\\
[\psi(\mathrm{G}(M))_\lambda \psi(\mathrm{L})] &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial + \frac{3}{2}\lambda\right)\mathrm{G}(MB^{-1}) + \frac{3}{2}\mathrm{G}(M\delta(B^{-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

These yield that $\delta(B^{-1}) = 0$, and so $B \in \mathbf{SL}_2(S_0)$. Therefore, $\psi = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}} \circ \theta_{A,I}^{-1} = \theta_{I,B}$, i.e., $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}} = \theta_{I,B} \circ \theta_{A,I} = \theta_{A,B}$. ☐

Proposition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ be a k–differential ring. If R is an integral domain and the étale cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$ is trivial, then $\iota_{\mathcal{R}}$ is surjective.

Proof. Given $\varphi \in \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$, as in Proposition 3.4, its restriction to $\mathcal{B} \otimes_k \mathcal{R}$ yields an R–linear automorphism $\overline{\varphi}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \otimes_k R$, where $\mathcal{B} = k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^1 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^2 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^3 \cong \mathrm{Cur}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$. It is known that there is an short exact sequence of k–group schemes

$$1 \to \boldsymbol{\mu_2} \to \mathbf{SL}_2 \to \mathbf{Aut}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)) \to 1,$$

which yields a long exact sequences:

$$1 \to \boldsymbol{\mu_2}(R) \to \mathbf{SL}_2(R) \to \mathbf{Aut}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))(R) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \to \cdots$$

Hence, the triviality of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$ yields that $\overline{\varphi}$ is the image of an element $A \in \mathbf{SL}_2(R)$. i.e.,

$$\varphi(\mathrm{T}(X)) = AXA^{-1}, X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R).$$

Then the proof of this proposition can be completed by similar arguments as in Proposition 3.4. ☐

To conclude this section, we discuss the representability of group functors involved in the exact sequence (2). Recall that both $\mathbf{SL}_2$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ are affine group schemes over k (representable functors from k**-rng** to **grp**). More precisely,

$$\mathbf{SL}_2 = \mathrm{Hom}_{k\textbf{-rng}}(k[\mathbf{SL}_2], -) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 = \mathrm{Hom}_{k\textbf{-rng}}(k[\boldsymbol{\mu}_2], -),$$

where their coordinates rings are $k[\mathbf{SL}_2] = k[x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}]/(x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21} - 1)$ and $k[\boldsymbol{\mu}_2] = k[x]/(x^2 - 1)$, respectively.

Analogous to the case of $N = 1, 2, 3$ Lie conformal algebras [1], both $\mathbf{SL}_2$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ yield forgetfully representable functors:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{SL}_2^{\mathrm{fgt}} : k\textbf{-drng} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{fgt}} k\textbf{-rng} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{SL}_2} \textbf{grp}, && \mathcal{R} = (R, \delta) \mapsto \mathbf{SL}_2(R).\\
&\boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{fgt}} : k\textbf{-drng} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{fgt}} k\textbf{-rng} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\mu}_2} \textbf{grp}, && \mathcal{R} = (R, \delta) \mapsto \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R).
\end{aligned}$$

However, the group functor $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta) \mapsto \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0), R_0 = \ker\delta$ fails to be forgetfully representable. Theorem 3.3 suggests that we should consider the functor[2]:

$$\mathrm{cst} : k\textbf{-drng} \to k\textbf{-rng}, \quad \mathcal{R} = (R, \delta) \mapsto R_0 = \ker(\delta).$$

---

[2] For a k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$, $R_0 = \ker\delta$ is called the ring of constants of $\mathcal{R}$ [3].

It also yields two group functors:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{SL}_2^{\mathrm{cst}} : k\text{-}\mathbf{drng} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cst}} k\text{-}\mathbf{rng} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{SL}_2} \mathbf{grp}, && \mathcal{R} = (R, \delta) \mapsto \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0).\\
&\boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{cst}} : k\text{-}\mathbf{drng} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cst}} k\text{-}\mathbf{rng} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\mu}_2} \mathbf{grp}, && \mathcal{R} = (R, \delta) \mapsto \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\mathbf{SL}_2^{\mathrm{cst}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{cst}}$ are representable as functors from k**-drng** to **grp** in the following sense: $k[\mathbf{SL}_2]$ together with the zero derivation form a k–differential ring $(k[\mathbf{SL}_2], 0)$. Similarly, $(k[\boldsymbol{\mu}_2], 0)$ is a k–differential ring. Then it is easy to observe that

$$\mathbf{SL}_2^{\mathrm{cst}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{k\text{-}\mathbf{drng}}\left((k[\mathbf{SL}_2], 0), -\right) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{cst}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{k\text{-}\mathbf{drng}}\left((k[\boldsymbol{\mu}_2], 0), -\right).$$

Proposition 3.6. $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{fgt}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{cst}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ be a k–differential ring and $r \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{fgt}}(\mathcal{R})$, then $r^2 = 1$. Thus $2r\delta(r) = 0$, and so $r\delta(r) = 0$, from which we obtain $\delta(r) = rr\delta(r) = 0$, i.e., $r \in R_0$. This yields that $r \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{cst}}(\mathcal{R})$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{fgt}}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^{\mathrm{cst}}(\mathcal{R})$. The reverse inclusion is obvious. ☐

4. The group functor $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$

In this section, we consider the relation between $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ be a k–differential ring for R an integral domain. Then

$$\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$. It suffices to show $\varphi(V \otimes_k R) \subseteq V \otimes_k R$.

Recall that $\mathcal{B} = k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^1 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^2 \oplus k[\partial]\mathrm{T}^3$. If we write

$$\varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)) = \sum_{m=0}^{M_i} \widehat{\partial}^m L(r_{im}) + f_i,$$

$r_{im} \in R$, $f_i \in \mathcal{B} \otimes_k R$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi([\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)_\lambda \mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)]) = 0,\\
&[\varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))] = \sum_{m,n=0}^{M_i} (-\lambda)^m (\widehat{\partial} + \lambda)^n ((\partial + 2\lambda)\mathrm{L}(r_{im} r_{in}) + \mathrm{L}(\delta(r_{im}) r_{in})) + h_i,
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_i \in k[\lambda] \otimes_k \mathcal{B} \otimes_k R$. By comparing the degree and coefficients of λ in

$$\varphi([\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)_\lambda \mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)]) = [\varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))], i = 1, 2, 3,$$

we obtain $M_i = 0$ and $r_{iM_i}^2 = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus $r_{iM_i} = 0$ $i = 1, 2, 3$ since R is an integral domain, i.e., $\varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)) \in \mathcal{B} \otimes_k R$. Since $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathrm{Cur}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$, by corollary 3.17 in [9], $\varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)) \subseteq (k\mathrm{T}^1 \oplus k\mathrm{T}^2 \oplus k\mathrm{T}^3) \otimes_k R \subseteq V \otimes_k R$. More precisely, there is an R–linear automorphism of the Lie algebra $\alpha : \mathfrak{sl}_2(R) \to \mathfrak{sl}_2(R), \sigma^i \mapsto X^i, i = 1, 2, 3$ such that $\varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)) = \mathrm{T}(X^i), i = 1, 2, 3$.

A similar argument using $\varphi([\mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{L}]) = [\varphi(\mathrm{L})_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{L})]$ yields that

$$\varphi(\mathrm{L}) = \mathrm{L} + \sum_{m=0}^{M} \widehat{\partial}^m \mathrm{T}(Y_m),$$

for $Y_m \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R)$. Then $\varphi([\mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{T}(\sigma^i)]) = [\varphi(\mathrm{L})_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{T}(\sigma^i))]$ implies

$$[Y_m, X^i] = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, m > 0.$$

Applying the automorphism α^{-1}, we obtain

$$[\alpha^{-1}(Y_m), \sigma^i] = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, m > 0.$$

Since $\{\sigma^i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$ and $\alpha^{-1}(Y_m) \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(R)$, we deduce that $\alpha^{-1}(Y_m) = 0$ for $m > 0$, and so $Y^m = 0, m > 0$. Hence, $\varphi(\mathrm{L}) = \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{T}(Y_0)$, i.e., $\varphi(\mathrm{L}) \in V \otimes_k R$.

Next we consider the odd part. By considering

$$\varphi([\mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{G}(M)]) = [\varphi(\mathrm{L})_\lambda \varphi(\mathrm{G}(M))],$$

for $M \in k^{2\times 2}$, we obtain $\varphi(\mathrm{G}(M)) \in V \otimes_k R$. Hence, $\varphi \in \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$. □

Corollary 4.2. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ be a k–differential ring, where R is an integral domain and $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R, \boldsymbol{\mu_2})$ is trivial. Then

$$\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R}) \cong \frac{\mathbf{SL}_2(R) \times \mathbf{SL}_2(R_0)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}_2(R_0)},$$

where $R_0 = \ker \delta$.

Remark 4.3.

- Since k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(k, \boldsymbol{\mu_2})$ is trivial. Hence,

  $$\mathrm{Aut}_{k\text{-conf}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})(k, 0) \cong \frac{\mathbf{SL}_2(k) \times \mathbf{SL}_2(k)}{\langle(-I_2, -I_2)\rangle}.$$

- For the k-differential ring $\widehat{\mathcal{S}} = (k[t^q | q \in \mathbb{Q}], \frac{d}{dt})$, the étale cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\widehat{S}, \boldsymbol{\mu_2})$ is trivial ([6, Theorem 2.9]) and $\widehat{S}_0 = \ker(\frac{d}{dt}) = k$. Hence,

  $$\mathrm{Aut}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}\text{-conf}}(\mathcal{F} \otimes_k \widehat{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}) = \frac{\mathbf{SL}_2(\widehat{S}) \times \mathbf{SL}_2(k)}{\langle(-I_2, -I_2)\rangle},$$

  which is the result of Proposition 3.69 in [9].

5. Comments on the functor GrAut($\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$)

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary Lie conformal superalgebra over k such that $\mathcal{A}$ is a free $k[\partial]$–module of finite rank, then there is a finite-dimensional k–vector space V such that $\mathcal{A} = k[\partial] \otimes_k V$ as $k[\partial]$–modules. Hence, we can define a subgroup of $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{R})$ for each k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$:

$$\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{A}, V)(\mathcal{R}) = \{\phi \in \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{R}) | \phi(k \otimes_k V \otimes_k R) \subseteq k \otimes_k V \otimes_k R\}.$$

This construction is also functorial in $\mathcal{R}$. Hence, $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{A}, V)$ is also a functor from the category of k–differential rings into the category of groups. However, V is not uniquely determined by $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{A}, V)$ depends on the choice of V.

According to [8, section 5.10], the $N = 1, 2, 3$ Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{K}_N$ can be realized as follows: $\mathcal{K}_N = k[\partial] \otimes_k \Lambda(N)$, where $\Lambda(N)$ is the Grassmannian superalgebra in N variables, ∂ acts on $\mathcal{K}_N$ in the natural way, and the n-th product for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{(0)}g &= \left(\frac{1}{2}|f| - 1\right) \partial \otimes fg + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|f|} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\partial_i f)(\partial_i g) \\
f_{(1)}g &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(|f| + |g|) - 2\right) fg, \\
f_{(n)}g &= 0, \quad n \geqslant 2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f, g \in \Lambda(N)$ are homogenous polynomials in $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N$ of degree $|f|$ and $|g|$ respectively, and ∂_i is the derivative with respect to $\xi_i, i = 1, \cdots, N$.

For the $N = 1, 2, 3$ Lie conformal superalgebras $\mathcal{K}_N$, [1] considers the particular case where $V = \Lambda(N)$, that is $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_N) = \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_N, \Lambda(N))$, $N = 1, 2, 3$. In these cases,

$$\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_N, \Lambda(N))(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_N)(\mathcal{R}), \quad N = 1, 2, 3,$$

for every complex differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ such that R is an integral domain.

For the $N = 4$ Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{F}$, we take V to be the k–vector space spanned by

$$\{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{T}^1, \mathrm{T}^2, \mathrm{T}^3, \mathrm{G}^1, \mathrm{G}^2, \overline{\mathrm{G}}^1, \overline{\mathrm{G}}^2\}$$

(see Section 2). From theorem 4.1, it is also true that

$$\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{F}, V)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{R})$$

for every k–differential ring $\mathcal{R} = (R, \delta)$ such that R is an integral domain.

However, for a given Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ over k and an arbitrary choice of V such that $\mathcal{A} = k[\partial] \otimes_k V$, $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{A}, V)(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{R})$ may fail to be an equality even if R is the field of complex numbers.

For instance, consider the $N = 2$ Lie conformal superalgebra $\mathcal{K}_2$. From [1], $\Lambda(2)$ is a good choice to define $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_2) = \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_2, \Lambda(2))$.

But we have other choices of V to define $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_2, V)$. $\mathcal{K}_2$ can also be realized as follows (see [8, section 5.10]):

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = k[\partial] \otimes_k (\mathrm{Der}(\Lambda(1)) \oplus \Lambda(1)),$$

where $\Lambda(1) = k \oplus k\xi$ is the wedge algebra in one variable and $\mathrm{Der}(\Lambda(1))$ is the superalgebra of all derivations of $\Lambda(1)$. The n-th products for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ on $\mathcal{K}_2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{(n)}b = \delta_{n0}[a, b], \quad a_{(0)}f = a(f), \quad a_{(n)}f = -\delta_{n1}(-1)^{p(a)p(f)}fa, \text{ if } n \geqslant 1, \\ &f_{(0)}g = -\partial(fg), \quad f_{(n)}g = -2\delta_{j1}fg, \text{ if } n \geqslant 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in \mathrm{Der}(\Lambda(1)), f, g \in \Lambda(1)$, and $p(a), p(b)$ are the parity of a, b.

Let

$$W := \mathrm{Der}(\Lambda(1)) \oplus \Lambda(1) = \left(k\frac{d}{d\xi} \oplus k\xi\frac{d}{d\xi}\right) \oplus (k \oplus k\xi).$$

Then $\mathcal{K}_2 = k[\partial] \otimes_k W$. We define $\phi : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{K}_2$ by

$$\phi(1) = 1 - \partial\xi\frac{d}{d\xi}, \quad \phi(\xi) = \frac{d}{d\xi}, \quad \phi\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right) = \xi, \quad \phi\left(\xi\frac{d}{d\xi}\right) = -\xi\frac{d}{d\xi},$$

and extend to $\mathcal{K}_2$ by $\phi \circ \partial = \partial \circ \phi$. It is easy to check that ϕ is a homomorphism of complex Lie conformal algebras and $\phi^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$. Note that $(k, 0)$ is also a complex differential ring and k is an integral domain. We obtain $\phi \in \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_2)(k)$. But $\phi \notin \mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_2, W)(k)$ because $\phi(1) \notin W$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_2, W)(k) \subsetneqq \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_2)(k).$$

Hence, W is not a suitable choice to define $\mathbf{GrAut}(\mathcal{K}_2)$.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to my supervisor Professor Arturo Pianzola for his valuable suggestions and constant encouragement. I also would like to thank my friend Valerie Budd for her careful reading of the manuscript. Finally, I acknowledge the support of University of Alberta and of the Chinese Scholarship Council.

References

[1] Z. Chang and A. Pianzola, *Automorphisms and twisted forms of the* $N = 1, 2, 3$ *Lie conformal superalgebras,* Communications in Number Theory and Physics **5** (2011) 751-778.

[2] M. Demazure and P. Gabriel, *Groupes Algébriques, tome I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1970).

[3] H. Gillet, *Differential algebra, a scheme theory approach*, Differential algebras and related topics, proceeding of the international workshop (2002) 95-123.

[4] D. Grantcharov and A. Pianzola, *Automorphisms and twisted loop algebras of finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras,* International Mathematics Research Notices **73** (2004) 3937-3962.

[5] D. Grantcharov and A. Pianzola, *Automorphisms of toroidal Lie superalgebras,* Journal of Algebra **319** (2008) 4230-4248.

[6] P. Gille, A. Pianzola, *Isotriviality and étale cohomology of Laurent polynomial rings*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **212** (2008) 780-800.

[7] V. G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algberas, 3rd edition*, Cambridge University Press, (1990).

[8] V. G. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for beginners,* Second Edition, American Mathematical Society, Providence. Rhode Island. (1998).

[9] V. G. Kac, M. Lau, and A. Pianzola, *Differential conformal superalgebras and their forms,* Advances in Mathematics **222** (2009) 809-861.

[10] J. S. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press, (1980).

[11] A. Pianzola, *Vanishing of* H^1 *for Dedekind rings and applications to loop algebras*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **340** (2005) 633-638.

[12] A. Schwimmer, N. Seiberg, *Comments on the* $N = 2, 3, 4$ *superconformal algebras in two dimensions,* Physics Letters B, **184** (1987) 191-196.